

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/092,072	03/06/2002	Wayne H. Rothschild	47079-0125	8523		
30223 7:	590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER			
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.			HOEL, MATTHEW D			
225 WEST WA	ASHINGTON		ADTIBUT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2600		•	ART UNIT	FAFER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606		3714			
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s) 10/092.072 ROTHSCHILD, WAYNE H. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 3714 Matthew D. Hoel All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner. (3) Daniel Nguyen, atty. (4)_____. (2) Xuan Thai, SPE. Date of Interview: 24 October 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: All. Identification of prior art discussed: Harkham, Larose. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed refining claim language concerning downloading audiovisual components only as needed and refining "configuration" in claims to reflect the applicant's intended meaning. Suggested applicant check Walker (inventor or assignee) for "remote" and subclasses in 717 and 709 for downloading software related to gaming (listed on search notes 9/11/2006). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

XUAN M. THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

TC3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form								
Application No.: 10/092072 First Named Applicant: Wayne H. Rothschild Examiner: Matthew D. Hoel Art Unit: 3713 Status of Application: Final Rejection								
Tentative Participants: (1) Daniel G. Nguyen		(2)						
(3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(4)						
Proposed Date of Interview: October 24, 2006 Proposed Time: 3:00 PM (AM/PM)								
Type of Interview Requested: (1) X Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference								
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [X] NO If yes, provide brief description:								
Issues To Be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(I) <u>Rei. 102(</u> b)	1, <u>10, 31, 5</u> 2	Art Harkham, Larose	[]	[]	N			
(2)				[]	U			
(3)	Andrew - Server - Se	•	[]	[]	[]			
(4) [] Continuation St	nect Attached		[]	[1	[]			
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: Suggestions from the Examiner for possible claim amendments.								
Difference between player-operated versus virtual gaming machines.								
Difference between gaming machines and personal computers.								
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on								
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature								
Daniel G. Nguyen								
Typed/Printed Nam	Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative							
42,933 Registration Number, if applicable								

Tale enfection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.23. The information is required to obtain or recain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) on application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.18 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and as bankting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments set the amount of time year require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Paives and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce. P.O. But 1450. Alexandris, VA 2311-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Continuous of Patents. P.O. Ray 1450. Alexandris, VA 2311-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS PAGE 2/3 * RCVD AT 10/23/2006 12:30:19 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-3/13 * DNIS:2735961 * CSID:7139513314 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-50

P.03

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

JENKENS, GILCHRIST

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m),
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Jenkens & Gilchrist

1401 MCKINNEY

SUITE 2600

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010-4034

AUSTIN, TEXAS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

DALLAS, TEXAS

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

(713) 951-3300 FACSIMILE (713) 951-3314

www.jenkens.com

WASHINGTON, D.C.

RECIPIENT

COMPANY

FAX No.

PHONE NO.

Matthew D. Hoel 1.

FROM THE DESK OF:

Daniel G. Nguyen

(713) 951-3354

USPTO

(571) 273-5961

(571) 272-5961

MESSAGE

Request for Examiner Interview.

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is

- 1. SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE;
- 2. ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT; OR
- 3. CONFIDENTIAL.

It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Jenkens & Gilchrist, a professional corporation by telephone at (713) 951-3300 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail, or if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

Time: 11:27 AM

Date: October 23, 2006

Emp#: 3986

Billing #: 47079-125USPT

Total Pages (+ Cover): 3